Previous references.
Gen. Fin. 48 of 1901-02
Sl 2 B. and a
filed cover of this file

Later references.
Secs 17-19 B of this file

190
(Gen: Fin)

File No. 686-03
Serial Nos. ~~15~~ 16.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. ~~15~~ 16

Part B. for February 1904.

SUBJECT.

Adjustment of the balance outstanding against Mr A. Narasimha Iyengar, in the Palace Accounts

FILE No. R. F. 686 of 1903-04.

Adjustment of the amount outstanding against Mr. A. Narasimha Iyengar in the Palace Accounts.

Fl. 706-8, dated the 20th November 1901, on the audit of the Palace accounts by Mr. Scott.

1 To the Comptroller, No. Fl. 1158, dated the 17th February 1902.
Calls for explanation as to why a smaller sum of Rs. 5,627-4-9 only is shown against Mr. A. Narasimha Iyengar, pensioned Durbar Bakshi, in the Comptroller's books when a larger sum is actually due by him according to the report of Mr. Scott, on the audit of the Palace accounts.

2 From the Comptroller, No. 1959—394, dated the 27th September 1904.
Calls for the return of certain references regarding the sum of Rs. 10,870-11-9 to be adjusted by Mr. A. Narasimha Iyengar in the Palace accounts.

3 To the Comptroller, No. 5153, dated the 8th February 1905.
Requests a copy of his letter No. 1994, dated the 16th October 1903, referred to in the above.

4 From the Comptroller, No. 4498—1016, dated the 15th February 1905.
Forwards a copy of the above with its enclosure.

5 To the Comptroller, No. 6620, dated the 7th April 1905.
Calls for a detailed report showing the amount that is outstanding against the late Durbar Bakshi.

6 From the Comptroller, No. 7737—1738, dated the 4th July 1905.
Submits an intermediate report stating that a sum of Rs. 5,000 out of Rs. 9,000 recovered from the late Dewan's salary, as also Rs. 412-5-0 referred to in para 12 of Mr. Scott's report dated the 16th September 1901, have been adjusted and that further investigation is being made regarding the balance of Rs. 5,458-6-10.

7 To Mr. Dorasami Iyer, D. O., dated the 10th July 1905.
Informs him that a sum of Rs. 10,000 was drawn from the State Huzur Treasury by his father, the late Dewan, out of which a sum of Rs. 5,000 has been adjusted, and requests him to state when the balance will be adjusted.

8 From Mr. Dorasami Iyer, D. O., dated the 11th July 1905.
Requests to be favored with copies of the correspondence relating to the transaction alluded to above.

9 To Mr. Dorasami Iyer, D. O., dated the 14th July 1905.
Requests him to call over at the Secretariat and have a look at the papers on the subject.

10 From Mr. Dorasami Iyer, D. O., dated the 18th August 1905.
Requests that he may be favored with full particulars as to how it was made out that the loan was obtained by his late father for private purposes.

9A To Mr. Dorasami Iyer, D. O., dated the 22nd August 1905.
Forwards copies of correspondence to show that an advance of Rs. 10,000 was taken by the late Dewan, his father.

10 From the Comptroller, No. 1007—155, dated the 23rd August 1905.
Submits a detailed report showing that, out of the sum of Rs. 10,000 drawn by the late Dewan as an advance and shown in the Palace accounts as outstanding adjustment against Mr. A. Narasimha Iyengar, a sum of Rs. 7,400 has been adjusted, leaving a balance of Rs. 2,600 and that, out of another sum of Rs. 870-11-9, outstanding against Mr. Narasimha Iyengar, a sum of Rs. 412-5-0, which is credited in the Huzur Treasury accounts under Palace in favor of Mr. Narasimha Iyengar, may be taken in part adjustment, leaving a balance of Rs. 458-6-9 against Mr. A. Narasimha Iyengar.

12 To the Comptroller, the Officer in charge of the Palace Establishments and to Mr. A. Narasimha Iyengar, No. Fl. 4222-24, dated the 5th January 1906.
States that Mr. Dorasami Iyer has agreed to pay up the balance of Rs. 2,600 due by the late Dewan and enquires why the sum of Rs. 412-5-0, said to be credited in the Huzur Treasury accounts in favor of Mr. Narasimha Iyengar, was paid by him.

13 To Mr. Dorasami Iyer, No. 4225, dated the 5th January 1906.
Informs him that he may pay the sum of Rs. 2,600 referred to above at an early date.

14 From Mr. Dorasami Iyer, D. O., dated the 10th January 1906.
Intimates his having sent a cheque drawn on the Madras Bank, Bangalore, for Rs. 2,600 referred to above.

15 From the Comptroller, No. 3974, dated the 19th January 1906.
Intimates that the amount of Rs. 2,600 has been realized and credited in the State Huzur Treasury account.

16 To the Comptroller and Mr. K. S. Dorasami Iyer, No. Fl. 4839-40, dated the 6th February 1906.
Records the preceding correspondence.

G.R.S. 11] 1905.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Genl. Fin.)

NOTES.

File No. 686/63.4

FILE HEADING.

Palace Accounts

From

The Comptroller to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

No. 4498/ac.1016 Dated 13-2-05 Received 16-2-05

Diary No. 3292	Enclos. 1 file Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards copy of his letter No. 1994 of the 10th October 1903 with its Enclosures relative to the amount outstanding in the Mysore Palace Accounts against Mr. A. Narasimmiengar, retired Durbar Bakshi.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

In G.O. No. H. 706-8 of 20-11-01 Govt. passed orders on the report submitted by Mr. Scott, Examiner, P.W. & Ry. Accounts in his audit of Palace Accounts.

In the above order the Comptroller was requested to explain why a smaller sum of Rs: 5627-4-9 only was shown in the Ac

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 686 of 1903-04

Serial No.

when a larger sum was actually due by Mr. A Narasimmiengar, Retired Darbar Bakshi and do: to take steps for do Early adjustment. The Comptroller was also as to submit reports on other points referred to

As regards other points above referred to, the Comptroller submitted the following reports: -

(1) D.O. of 12-2-02 - Serial No. 17

(2) No. 301 of 14-7-02 do - 24

3) No. 867 of 14-8-02 - do - 26

But no final orders were issued on them though a draft was submitted by the Secretary (Mr. H.V. Nanja which will be found with the old office note at page books.. No VI in the list of files placed at the top of the other fo

As regards the amount due by Mr. Narasim the Comptroller (Mr. Subbarayer) states that as the accounts, Pay book &c. referred to in his letter No. 7 of 21-5-02 were not returned though repeatedly asked for he was not able to Ex the accounts completely and finally report on the Amount outstanding against the Gentleman above referred to and that before he hands over charge of the office he considers it necessary to Explain what facts he has been able to ascer

Serial No.

from the records and accounts that are availa
in the office. He also forwards copy of Correspon
-ence between Mr. Narasimmiengar and the Pal
Comptroller received with Memo No. 96 of 17-2-0
from the latter officer and also copy of Palace Con
-troller's docket No. 16 of 7 August 1893 on the sub
-ject.

As the above reference was not forthcomin
reminders ~~was~~ were in accordance with the new Syst
~~were being~~ issued and this office No. 1158 of 17-2-
and the Comptroller in reply invited attention to
the Communication above referred to. On this
copy of the said Communication has been obtain
from the Comptroller.

Some of the papers ~~are~~ referred to by the Comptr
having been returned herein with this office No. 1715 o
8-5-02 he requested the return of the following :-

(1) Madras Bank (Imperial Branch) Pass Book
(2) Letter No. 2284 of 24th August 1891 from the Comptroller to the
(3) Statements showing the amts. paid by the Imperial Treasuries to the Comptroller
(4) Unadjusted outstanding Statement for March 1890. Account of Palace during the year 1889-1
(5) do — do — 1891
do — do — June 1892
(5) General abstract for April 1889 sent with D. 0059-7-0
(6) Huzur Treasury Accounts Cash book for 1891-92
(7) do - Monthly account for - do —
(8) Statement of Civil Treasury payments on accou
of Palace 1891-92.

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 686 of 1903-04.

Serial No.

Of the papers above referred to (5), (6) & (7) were returned to Comptroller with this office no R 151 of 17-7-02.
nos. (1) (2) (3) & (4) are found in file no I referred to in the list.

Subd
22/2/05

of the Devanshalab
Orders are attached as to whether the
may be sent with Comptr

Subd Grey
28/2/1905

28-2-05

28-2-05

By all means — Return
the file as I wish to know
why there has been such a gr
delay in the matter

5648/27.III.05

Draft accd
28.3.1905

Draft subd Grey

31-3-05

Passed
31.3.1905

to the Comptroller, no: R/16820 of the 7.4.
Returns the papers referred to above

MGCPB—10,000—31-8-04.

Serial No.

that the final report promised by him may be forwarded at an early date.

from the Comptroller, No: 7737 of the 4.7.05. ac. 1738

Invites attention to para 6 of his letter No: 1994 d. the 10.10.04 and states that the sum of Rs: 5000 out of Rs: 9000/: then stated to have been recovered from the late Dewan's salary & credited to Suspe in the Palace accounts, as also Rs: 412-5= referred to in para 12 of Mr Scott's Report have been adjusted towards the advances of Rs 10,870-11-10 outstanding against A. Narasimha Iyengar, & with regard to the balance of Rs: 5458-6-10 further investigation is being made & a further report will be submitted by Govt: in due course.

Submitted for orders, whether the report may be awaited.

Attention is invited to the Dewan's Sahib order of the 27.3.05, Supra.

K.S. 6/7/05.

The further report promised by the Comptroller may be awaited

Submitted to the Dewan Sahib & 8/7/1905

subd to my U 7-7-05.

MGCPB—20,000—1.11-04.

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 686. of 1903-4.

Serial No.

When work is the report
will you be delay - When
there passes of what is the
guarantee that money
will be received from the
Nawabsahi Zeyan - The
report must be expedited
and the Nawabsahi Zeya
called upon to make good
the amount there may be
official adjustment & to
explain why this amount
should not be withheld
out the whole money is adjusted

10. VII. 03

Why has the guarantee report
delayed not been answered

10. VII. 03

Draft submitted to secy
12/7/05

13-7-0.

Draft passed by secy

To the Comptr. No. H. 4390, the 13. 7. 05.

Requests him to be so good as to hasten
the submission of his final report in
the matter.

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 686 of 1903-4.

Serial No.

From the Comptroller, No: 1007/155 d. the 23-8-05.

Submits a final report in the matter

The report shows that the late Dewan Bakshi I to account for Rs: 10870-11-9, as discovered by Scott in his report of 3rd Sept: 1903. (Vide Paras to 72).

(2) His account is composed of 5000, 2000 & 3 Rs: received by him upon receipts dated th 30th January 1890 on account of Palace purp and a sum of Rs: 870-11-9 alluded to in para of Mr Scott's report.

(3). Mr Narasimhaiyangar's explanation is that items of Rs 5000, 2000 & 3000 referred to abo were advanced to the late Dewan on the authority of H.H. the late Maharaja; and that the item of Rs 870-11-9 related to a cheque granted by him to Saheb Mah = mad Khassim who cashed it at the Madras Bank, Bangalore.

(4) The Comptr: States, in reference to the allegation that the said 10000 | Rs: was advanced to the late Dewan, that there is nothing in his office to support this He however notices one difference between th receipts referred to above & the other receipts

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

Serial No.

by Mr Narasimhiengar in other cases. The former receipts contain the words "In Palace Purposes" whilst the latter "In Palace Expenses". In reference to (3) Mr Saliah Mahamed Kassim's cheque, the Comptr: States that the amount was paid to him on a formal receipt signed by Mr Narasimhiengar & as such he is responsible for it, as long as it is not accounted for in the Palace Accounts.

(5) Hence assuming that the late Durbar Bhakshi has to account for the said 10870-11 the Comptroller points out that Rs: 7400 has out of the aforesaid Rs 10,000/=, been credited in the Palace accounts by the late Dewan, and that, out of Rs: 870-11-9, a sum of Rs: 412-5- credited in favor of Mr Narasimha Iyengar may be taken in adjustment. Hence the balance to be paid is 2600, out of the aforesaid 10,000, & Rs: 458-6-9, out of the aforesaid Rs. 870-11-9 or in all Rs: 3058-6-9.

(6) In para 3 of the letter under advertence the Comptr: assigns reasons as to how it was that a smaller amount was shown as outstanding against the late Durbar Bakshi submitted for orders

MGCPB—50,000—17-2-05

K.S.
26/1905

Serial
No.

We are now in a position to issue final orders. The amount that has therefore from M^r Narasimha Iyengar is Rs 3058-6-9.

The amount paid by the Collector Sri K. Sethadri Iyer is Rs 9000 and not Rs 800 ~~The difference~~ as intimated to M. Doraswami Iyer in our letter No. Jl. 1243 dated the 22-8 The difference is due to the amount paid in February 1891 not having been shown in the extract from the Treasury Cash Book which is in the file. In regard to this item it is observed that while the month and the date are given for the other items aggregating Rs 8000/- the month alone is entered for this item of Rs 1000 which was paid in February. (vide para 5 of Comptroller's letter). Orders are solicited as to whether the date may be ascertained from the Comptroller.

The purport of the Comptroller's letter has to be communicated to M. Doraswami Iyer (vide our letter of even date of the 22 ultimo)

Submitted Draft for orders.

for a draft
4/9/1905
Jl
4-9-05

Jl
4-9-05

MGCPB—50,000—17-2-05

Serial No. Submitted with a draft.

KS.
7.9.05.

draft noted here

8.9.05

Draft submitted to the Devan Sahib

11.9.1905

8607
12.9.05

10284

Mr Doresawmi Iyer called on me on the 8th inst. and said that this money was due by him & that he will pay it as soon as the stamp balance was ascertained. I sent with him a D.O. to Secy to give him all the help. The Secy will now have the matter settled in connection with Mr Doresawmi Iyer at a very early date

Doresawmi Iyer has been asked to come see the file & take copies

12.XII.05

16.12.1905

I showed this to Mr Doresawmi Iyer on the 31st Dec. 1905 & he said he would

MGCPB—50,000—22-2-07

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 5. 86 of 190 3/1904

Serial No.

put up & write to Mr. Dorasami Iyer as per propt & send the sum due (Rs 2600 Rs)

4.1.1906

4.1.06

To the Comptroller; Mr. A. Narasimhiengar, late Durbar Bakshi; the officer in charge of Palace Establishments.

No: H 4222-24 dy 5.1.06

Approves of the Comptroller's propr to adjust a sum of Rs 7400/- out of the advance of Rs 10,000/- received by the late Sir K. Seshadri Iyer in 1890, states that Mr. Dorasami Iyer, the eldest son of Sir K. Seshadri Iyer has promised to pay early the balance of Rs 2600/- and enquires why Mr. Narasimhiengar paid Rs 412-5-0.

To Mr. K. Dorasamy Iyer

No: H 4225 dated 10.1.06

Requests him to send a sum of Rs 2600 to the Comptroller on account of the balance due out of the sum of Rs 10000 advanced to his father from the Palace in January 1890.

MGCPB—50,000—17-2-05

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 686 of 1903

Serial No.

To the Comptroller
Forwards copy of the above in continuation of G.O. dated the 5th January 1906.
From Mr. K. Dorasami Iyer
D.O. dated 10.1.1906
States that in compliance with this office letter no: H 4225 of 5.1.06 he is sending to the Comptroller on 10.1.06 a cheque on the Madras Bank Bangalore for Rs 2600/- and requesting him to acknowledge receipt to the Palace and to him.

Submitted Forwards
18/1/06

submd Voucher for information
19.1.06

Folio
19-1-1906
20-1-06

From the Comptr:, no: 3976 of the 19.1.06,
Reports that the amt of Rs: 2600 has been realized & credited to the Treasury.
Submitted with a draft recording Voucher
KS
25.1.06.

25.1.06

27.1.1906
28-1-06

~~From the officer in charge of~~
~~Issue~~ To the Comptr:, no: H: 4839-40 of the 6.2.
Records the above.

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

To.

The Comptroller
Mysore Govt

Dated 17th February 19

F 1158.

Referring to Son
proc: No. F 706-8 dat
20th November 1901,
passing orders on th
report submitted by
Mr W. H. Scott, Exa
-mines P.W. & Railway
accounts, on his
audit of Palace ac
-counts, and request
-ing explanation as
to why a smaller
sum of Rs 5627. 4. 9
only was shewn in th
accounts when a
larger sum was a
-tually due by Mr a.
Narasimhiengar, Pers
Durbar Bakshi, an
directing its early

adjustment, has the
honor to request that
he will be so good
as to intimate what
steps have been taken
in the matter and
whether the full amount
has been adjusted —

The other reports
called for in the "
above, are awaited &
may be expedited. —

Yours
13/2/02 J. T. [illegible]
Secretary.

No. 1994

Encls: 3

Office of the Comptroller,

Mysore Government,

Bangalore, Dated: 24th September 1903

10-10-03

From

The Comptroller of Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt: of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.301 dated 17th July 1902, I have the honor to state that as the Government ha not yet been pleased to return the Madras Bank Pass Book, Pala outstanding lists, and other connected papers, though the retur of those papers was repeatedly asked for (vide this office last No.7108 dated 21st May 1902), I have not been able to examine t accounts completely, and finally report on the amount outstand ing against Mr.Narasimma Iyengar. However, before I hand over charge of my office, I think it necessary to state what facts I have been able to gather from the records and Accounts that are now available in the office.

A copy of the correspondence that took place on the subje between Mr.Narasimma Iyengar and the Palace Controller, receive with the latter's Memo No.96 dated 17th February 1902, is herei enclosed for information. A copy of the Palace Controller's docket No.16 dated 7th August 1893 which also relates to this subject is also herein enclosed for information.

It is stated by Mr.Narasimma Iyengar that he advanced Rs.10,000/- to late Sir K. Seshadri Iyer on the authority of H.H.the late Maharaja, but there is nothing in this office to support this statement.

The three receipts referred to by him are dated 30th Janu

	Date of payment	Name of Messenger	
Rs.5000/-	30-1-1890	Agartha Rammanah	1890, but we
" 3000/-	8-2-90	M.D.Rammanah	
" 2000/-	15-2-90	Not inserted in the bill.	paid on dif

ferent date

dates, which are noted in the margin with the names of the messengers through whom the money was paid.

A sum of Rs.870-11-10 is alleged to have been paid to Saliah Mahomed Cassim Sait, but the receipt was signed by Mr. Narasimma Iyengar (Vide also para 66 of Mr.Scott's report). He has therefore to account for the whole amount outstanding agai him.

From March 1890 to August 1891, Rs.9000/- appears to have been deducted from the late Dewan's salary bill as shown in the margin, and credited in the State Huzur Treasury Accounts in favor of Palace, Rs.1000/- paid in to credi of Personal Estate (vide Copy of Memo dated 5 March/9 was credited under Miscellaneous as no particular head of account was specified, and Rs.5000/- under "suspense" as no particulars were furnished. Of the remaining Rs.3000/- the Palace Controller reports (vide his letter No.16 dated 7th August '93) having adjusted in his Treasury Accounts Rs.1271-9-5 towards advances standing in the Palace Accounts against the late Dewan and his son Mr.Dorasawmy Iyer on accoun of Stable supplies. There was then a balance of Rs.1728-6-7. Out of this amount the Palace Controller has erroneously credi Rs.1400/- in adjustment of a similar amount paid to the late Dewan's house-hold at Mysore and debited as an advance against Mr.Narasimma Iyengar to be recovered by him and utilized for Bangalore trip expenses, before obtaining from Mr.Narasimmyeng an account of the Bangalore trip expenses on account of which this payment was made, and satisfying himself whether such recovery has been made and credited in the Trip accounts.

5th March '90	Rs.1000
1st April '90	" 1000
5th June '90	" 1000
1st October '90	" 1000
7th January '91	" 1000
February '91	" 1000
10th August '91	" 3000
	Rs. 9000

In the Tour accounts of the same period rendered by Mr. Narasimma Iyengar this amount is found credited over and above the amount drawn from the State Huzur Treasury. In incorporati the Trip account, the Palace Controller has taken credit for t entire amount appearing in Mr.Narasimma Iyengar's account i.e. not only the amounts drawn from the State Huzur Treasury viz Rs 50,112-8-0 ~~Rs.112-8-0~~ but also Rs.1400/- the amount referred to in para 6 above. This latter amount was struck out by this office on bot

both sides of the account, pending explanation of the Palace Controller (vide also para 72 of Mr.Scott's report) and as no corresponding debit appeared in the Huzur Treasury Account.

It is thus clear that Rs.1400 was twice credited to Palac against only one debit in the Palace Treasury Account, and one of the two credits therefore remains to be adjusted as also Rs.412-5-0 credited in the Palace Account under suspense in favor of Mr.Narasimma Iyengar, for which also no particulars we furnished either by Mr.Narasimma Iyengar or by the Palace offi

It is much to be regretted that the special audit officer Mr.Scott who had complete access to all the accounts in my office (both Provincial and Palace), their subsidiary statement the Palace ~~Treasurer's~~ Treasurer's Cash & Deposit Accounts and all Mr.Narasimma Iyengar's Tour accounts failed to make further investigations about these credits of Rs.6000 & 412-8-0 and to report how they should be adjusted.

Without however a thorough examination of the Pass Book o the Mysore branch of the Madras Bank, the Palace accounts and statements rendered to this office some of which are still wit the Dewan, the vernacular and Deposit accounts of the Palace Treasurer, the detailed tour accounts of Mr.Narasimma Iyengar H.H.the Maharaja's Pocket money accounts, I am unable to state against what accounts the above outstanding debits and credits amounting respectively to Rs.10,870-11-9 & Rs.7812-8-0 have to be adjusted, what balance will be left for further adjustment whether there are any more credits taken to Deposit account fo want of particulars and how all these items have to be adjuste

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

E Narayana

Comptroller.

From

V. N. Narasimha Iyengar, Esq.,

Palace Controller.

To

Rai Bahadur
A. Narasimha Iyengar, Esq.,

Durbar Bakshi (Retired)

Bangalore.

Dated 25th December 1901.

Despatched 28th

No.327

Sir,

Adverting to para 10 of Government Proceedings No.Fl:706-8 dated 20th November 1901, I have the honor to state that the Comptroller to Government has in his docket No.4121 dated 15/17th December 1901 desired me to call upon you to account without further delay for the sum of Rs.10,870-11-9 as per Statement enclosed, outstanding against you since 1890, and to request that you will be so good as to expedite the adjustment of the amount in question at your earliest convenience.

I have &c.,

(Signed) V.N.Narasimma Iyengar.

Palace Controller.

16th January 1902
Golf Road, Bangalore.

From

A. Narasimma Iyengar, R.B., R.K.P.

Retired Durbar Bakshi.

To

V.N.Narasimma Iyengar, Esq.,

Palace Controller in Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.327 dated 25/28th Decembe 1901, I have the honor to request you to be good enough to let me know if any deductions made from the late Sir K.SeshadriIye

pay during the months of March 1890 to August 1891, have been communicated to you; and to what head such amounts have been credited in the Palace.

This information is required to enable me to explain about Rs.7000/- and Rs.3000/- drawn from the Huzur Treasury in 1890 in January and February respectively.

As for the balance of Rs.870-11-9, I shall write later on, after obtaining the necessary information from the Huzur Treasu

I have &c.,

Sd. A.Narasimma Iyengar,

Retired Durbar Bakshi.

1902.

Rai Bahudur Raja Karya Prasakta

A. Narasimha Iyengar, Esq.,

Retired Durbar Bakshi,

Bangalore.

Dated 25th January 1902.

No.380

Sir,

In reply to your letter dated 16th January 1902, I have t honor to inform you that the Government Comptroller intimated in his docket No.511 dated 5th September 1891 that Rs.3000/- was recovered from the pay of Dewan Bahadur for credit to Pala Remittances V. Instruction on the audited pay bill for August 1891. This amount was credited in the Palace Treasury accounts of August 1891 towards the advances standing against the late Dewan amounting to Rs.2671-9-5 the balance of Rs.328-6-7 was kept in the Deposits and was finally paid to the Dewan on the 6th November 1895.

2. No other recoveries under the above head were either intimated by the Government Comptroller or credited in the Palac Accounts from March 1890 to August 1891.

I have &c.,

Sd. V.N.Narasimha Iyenga

Palace Controller.

31st January 1902
Golf Road, Bangalore.

From

A. Narasimha Iyengar, R.B., R.K.P.

Retired Durbar Bakshi.

To

V. N. Narasimma Iyengar, Esq.,

Palace Controller, Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.380 dated 25th January 02, I have the honor to request youto be good enough to let me know if any advance was outstanding against the late Dewan Sir K. Seshadri Iyer prior to March 1890. If so I shall feel obliged by your letting me know the amount.

Please also enlighten me as to whether there was any advance outstanding against him from March 1890 to August 1891 excluding Rs.2671-9-5 alluded to in your letter.

I have &c.,

Sd. A.Narasimha Iyengar,

Retired Durbar Bakshi.

From

V. N. Narasimha Iyengar, Esq.,

Palace Controller.

To

Rai Bahudur Raja Karya Prasakta

A. Narasimha Iyengar, Esq.,

Durbar Bakshi (Retired)

Golf Road, Bangalor..

Dated 15th February 1902.

No. 422.

Sir,

In reply to your letter dated 31st January 1902 enquiring whether any advance was outstanding against the late Dewan Sir K. Seshadri Iyer, prior to March 1890, I have the honor to inform you that a sum of Rs.1021-9-6 being the cost of feeding horses &c., from July 1883 to July 1887 was due by him, and it

it formed part of the sum of Rs.2671-9-5 adjusted out of the Rs.3000/- already referred to in my letter No.380 dated 25th January 1902.

2. As regards your 2nd query, I beg to say that there was no advance outstanding against him from March 1890 to August 1891 besides the above sum of Rs.2671-9-5.

I have &c.,

Sd. V.N.Narasimha Iyengar,

Palace Controller.

12th February 1902
Golf Road, Bangalore.

From

A. Narasimha Iyengar, ~~B.A.~~ B.,R.K.P.

Retired Durbar Bakshi.

To

V.N.Narasimha Iyengar, Esq.,

Palace Controller, Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.327 dated 25th De cember 1901, I have the honor to state briefly that in month of January 1890 the late Sir K. Seshadri Iyer app to H.H.the late Maharaja for an advance of Rs.10,000/- connection with the marriage of his 2nd daughter which place in Bangalore on the 1st February and following da His Highness was pleased to instruct me to arrange for advance through the Huzur Treasury here, as H.H.was the staying in Bangalore. I gave to Sir K.Seshadri Iyer thr receipts (One for Rs.5000/- the other for Rs.2000/- an third for Rs.3000/-) to be cashed at the State Huzur Tr sury, and the present outstanding of Rs.10,000/- again is made up of these items. These receipts were cashed him, as noted in the margin. As permitted by His Highn Sir K. Seshadri Iyer, promised to adjust the advance b monthly payments into the Treasury. Accordingly from in tion I have gathered from the State Huzur Treasury and Comptroller, I find that from the month following that which the wedding was celebrated, Sir K. Seshadri Iyer

Signature of the receiver
(Sd) Agarsadi Ramanmah.
(Sd) Sheik Imam.
(Sd) M. A. Ramaniah.

Amount
5000/-
2000/-
3000/-

On what account
Paid on his receipt dated 30-1-1890 for Palace expenses
Paid for Palace expenses as per receipt dated 30-1-1890.

Name of payee
Durbar Bakshi
— do —
Dewan Bahadur.

Date
-1-1890
-do-
-2-1890

began to make payments into the Treasury, through the Comptroller during different months. As stated by you, no intimation of these payments have been given to your office, with the exception of Rs.3000/- (deducted out of Sir K. Seshadri Iyer's pay on 10-8-1901) which, instead of being credited towards the adjustment of the above advance of Rs.10,000/- seems to have been wrongly applied towards sundry accounts, including amounts subsequently drawn by and repaid to Sir K. Seshadri Iyer i.e.after 10-8-1901.

2. About the other item of Rs.870-11-9 I beg to state that th particulars obtained from the State Huzur Treasury show that th amount was not drawn by me in cash; but a cheque for the same was granted to Salia Mahomed Kassim who cashed it at the Madras Bank, Bangalore 28th February 1890.

I have &c.,

Sd. A.Narasimha Iyengar,

Retired Durbar Bakshi.

No.96 Dated

Copy of the foregoing forwarded to the Comptroller, Myso Government, with reference to his docket No.4121 dated 15/17t December 1901.

(Signed) V.N.Narasimha Iyengar,

17--2--'02. Palace Comptroller.

" Copy "

The Palace Controller,

Mysore.

To

The Comptroller of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Dated 7th August 1893.

No. 16

With reference to his docket No.714 dated 3rd August 1893 enquiring why the sum of Rs.3000/- was not credited on the receipt side of the account current as received from the Dewan and what was the total amount that was outstanding against the Dewan, has the honor to inform that as the amount was received in the Huzur Treasury, it was debited against his office. But as regards its credit it had either to be credited on the receip side or to the advance statement. If it had been credited under the receipts then the advances could not have been waived.

Besides the amount of Rs.1021-9-6, there were two sums that were adjusted from the amount of Rs.3000/-. The first amount was Rs.249-15-11 due by Mr.K.Dorasawmy Iyer which was credited in the same month. The other was Rs.1400/-. This amount was paid to the Dewan's houseat Mysore, and debited to the Bangalore trip account with a view that the amount would be recovered by Mr.A. Narasimma Iyengar at Bangalore. But as the amount of Rs.3000/- was received at the Huzur Treasury and intimation was given by the Huzur Treasury officer for adjusting the same in the Palace accounts the sum of Rs.1400 was recredited to the Bangalore trip account in the same month. Both of these adjustments may be seen in the advance Statement of August 1891.

The balance of Rs.328-6-7 that was left after adjusting the above three items viz Rs.1021-9-6, Rs.249-15-11 & Rs.1400/- due in all Rs.2671-9-5 was brought on the receipt side of the account curre of August 1891, being included in the bill of the "Receipt of Deposits

(Signed) D.Sitarama Rao,
Palace Comtroller.

" Copy "

From

The Comptroller, Mysore Govt

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysor

Dept

Dated

Despatched 27 Sept

Received

L. F. No. 1759

Ac No. 394

SUBJECT MATTER.

Refers him to this offic
letter no: 1994 dated 16th Oct
1903 and requests that the
Accounts Pass Book, and
other statements may be
forwarded to determine
against what accounts th
sum of Rs 10870-11-4, has
be adjusted.

~~L. F.~~ No. 1959/394 OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVT.

Bangalore, 27th September 1904.

a/c

From Mr. Eagles Esqre.

~~E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.~~,

Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To B. K. Venkatavaradaiengar Esqre.

~~The~~ Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

General & Revenue Dept.

Sir,

With reference to your reminder

detailed No. ~~F~~ 6 1062 dated 23rd August last on

to the subject of the recovery of the amount

letter's report. standing in the Palace Accounts Against

Mr. A. Narasimha Iyengar retired

Darbar Bakshi, I have the honor to

refer you to this office letter No. 1994

dated 16th October 1903 and to request

that the Accounts, Pass Book and

other statements therein requested

may be forwarded to this office

to

to enable it to determine against which accounts the sum of Rs 10870-11-9 has to be adjusted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
Sd/ Eagles
Comptroller.

H

G.R.S. 26]

File No.

Serial No 3

Diary No. of letter replied to 1238

No. H 5153

General and Rev. Secretariat
( )
, *the* 190 .

To

The Compliance b
Govt. of H.H. the
Travancore State

Date of issue 8th February 05

Signed by Mr. Govt. Krishnaswamy Iyer

Has the honour to
request that the
Compliance may be
good enough to forward
an early date
a copy of his letter
No. 1994 dated 10.10.1903
addressed to the Chief
Secretary No. 1959 dated
27th September 1904.

By order
Mr. 3/2
for Secy.

over.

SUBJECT.

ACCOUNT CURRENT DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE

COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE,

BANGALORE, 15 February 190 5

From

Rai N. G. Basu Bahadur, M.A.,

COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE.

B. K. Venkatavarada Iyengar, Esq.,

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Dept.s

SIR,

As desired in your docket No.F1:5153 of the 8th idem I hav the honor to forward a copy of my letter No. :1994 dated 10th October 1903 to your address with its enclosures relative to th amount outstanding in the Mysore Palace Accounts against Mr.A. Narasimha Iyengar retired Durbar Bakshi.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

N G Basu

Comptroller.

Account Current DEPARTMENT.

1905

From

THE COMPTROLLER,
MYSORE GOVT.

To

THE SECY. TO GOVT. OF MYSORE,
Dept.

Dated 13

Despatched 15 February 05

Received

No. 4498 Exps

A. C No. 1016

SUBJECT MATTER

Forwards copy of this office No. 1994 of 10.10.03 with its enclosure relative to the amount standing in the Mysore Palace Accounts against A. Narasimmiengar retired Durbar Bakshi.

Office of the Comptroller, Mysore Government,

Bangalore, Dated 24th September 1903.

From

The Comptroller of Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No. 301 dated 17th July 1902, I have the honour to state that as the Government have not ye been pleased to return the Madras Bank Pass Book, Palace outstandir lists, and other connected papers though the return of those papers was repeatedly asked for (vide this office last No. 7108 dated 21st May 1902),I have not been able to examine the accounts completely a finally report on the amount outstanding against Mr. Narasimma Iyer However, before I hand over charge of my office, I think it necessa to state what facts I have been able to gather from the records and accounts that are now available in the Office.

2. A copy of the correspondence that took place on the subject between Mr. Narasimma Iyengar and the Palace Controller, received with the latter's memo No. 96 dated 17th February 1902, is herein enclo for information. A copy of the Palace Controller's docket No. 16 dated 7th August 1893 which also relates to this subject,is also h in enclosed for information.

3. It is stated by Mr. Narasimma Iyengar that he advanced Rupe 10,000/- to late Sir K. Seshadri Iyer on the authority of His the late Maharaja but there is nothing in this office to support statement.

The three receipts referred to by him are dated 30th.

	Date of payment.	Name of Messenger.
Rs. 5,000/-	30-1-1890	Agartha Rammanah
" 3,000/-	8-2- 90	M.D. Rammanah
" 2,000/-	15-2- 90	Not inserted in the bill.

30-January 1890 b

were paid on d

ferent dates

which are noted in the margin with the names of the messengers through whom the money was paid.

5. A sum of Rs. 870-11-10 is alleged to have been paid to Salial Mahomed Cassim Sait, but the receipt was signed by Mr. Narasimma Iyen (vide also para 66 of Mr. Scott's report). He has therefore to accou for the whole amount outstanding against him

6. From March 1890 to August 1891 Rs. 9,000/- appears to have bee

5th March 1890	Rs. 1000	deducted from the late Dewan's salary bill as sho
1st April 1890	" 1000	
5th June 1890	" 1000	in the margin, and credited in the State Huzur Tr
1st October 90	" 1000	
7th January 91	" 1000	sury accounts in favour of Palace, Rs. 1000/- paid
February 91	" 1000	
10th August 91	" 3000	in to credit of Personal Estate (vide copy of
	Rs. 9000/-	memo dated 5th. March 1890 was credited under Mis-

cellaneous as no particular head of account was specified and Rs. 5000/ under "suspense" as no particulars were furnished. Of the remaining Rs 3000/- the Palace Controller reports (vide his letter No. 16 dated 7th August 93) having adjusted in his Treasury Accounts Rs. 1271-9-5 to ar advances standing in the Palace Accounts against the late Dewan and hi son Mr. Dorasawmy Iyer on account of Stable supplies. There was then a balance of Rs. 1728-6-7. Out of this amount the Palace Controller has erroneously credited Rs. 1400/- in adjustment of a similar amount paid to the late Dewan's house-hold at Mysore and debited as an advance against Mr. Narasimma Iyengar to be recovered by him and utilized for Bangalore trip expenses, before obtaining from Narasimma Iyengar an account of the Bangalore trip expenses on account of which this payment was made, and satisfying himself whether such recovery has been made and credited in the Trip accounts.

7. In the Tour accounts of the same period rendered by Mr. Narasimma Iyengar this amount is found credited over and above the amount drawn

from the State Huzur Treasury. In incorporating the Trip account, th Palace Controller has taken credit for the entire amount appearing Mr. Narasimma Iyengar's account, i.e., not only the amounts drawn fr the State Huzur Treasury viz., Rs. 50,112-8-0 but also Rs. 1400/- t amount referred to in para 6 above. This latter amount was struck o by this office on both sides of the account, pending explanation of the Palace Controller (vide also para 72 of Mr. Scott's report) and no corresponding debit appeared in the Huzur Treasury Account.

8. It is thus clear that Rs. 1400/- was twice credited to Palace against only one debit in the Palace Treasury Account and one of th two credits therefore remains to be adjusted as also Rs. 412-5-0 cr dited in the Palace Account under suspense in favour of Mr. Narasim Iyengar for which also no particulars were furnished either by Mr. Narasimma Iyengar or by the Palace office.

9. It is much to be regretted that the special audit officer Mr. Scott w had complete access to all the accounts in my office (both Provinci and Palace) their subsidiary statements the Palace Treasuries cash deposit accounts and all Mr. Narasimma Iyengar's Tour accounts fai to make further investigations about these credits of Rs. 6000 and 412-8-0 and to report how they should be adjusted.

10. Without however a thorough examination of the Pass Book of t Mysore branch of the Madras Bank, the Palace accounts and statement rendered to this office some of which are still with the Dewan, the vernacular and Deposit accounts of the Palace Treasurer, the detail tour accounts of Mr. Narasimma Iyengar and H. H. the Maharaja's Pocl money accounts I am unable to state against what accounts the abov outstanding debits and credits amounting respectively to Rs. 10870- and Rs. 7812-8-0 have to be adjusted, what balance will be left for ther adjustment whether there are any more credits taken to Deposit account for want of particulars and how all these items have to be adjusted.

"Copy"

I have &ca.
Sd/ E.R. Subrayer,
Comptroller.

From

V. N. Narasimha Iyengar, Esq.,

Palace Controller.

To

Rai Bahadur A. Narasimha Iyengar, Esq.,

Durbar Bakshi (Retired)

Bangalore.

Dated 25th December 1901.

Despatched 28th

No.327

Sir,

Adverting to para 10 of Government Proceedings No.'1 706-8 dated 20th November 1901, I have the honor to state that the Comptroller to Government has in his docket No.4121 dated 15/17th December 1901 desired me to call upon you to account without further delay for the sum of Rs.10,870-11-9 as per Sta ment enclosed, outstanding against you since 1890, and to request that you will be so good as to expedite the adjustment o the amount in question at your earliest convenience.

I have &c.,

(Signed) V.N.Narasimma Iyengar

Palace Controller.

16th January 1902
Golf Road, Bangalore.

Fro

A. Narasimma Iyengar, R.B., R.K.P.

Retired Durbar Bakshi.

To

V.N.Narasimma Iyengar, Esq.,

Palace Controller in Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.327 dated 25/28th Decem 1901, I have the honor to request you to be good enough to le me know if any deductions made from the late Sir K.Seshadr Iy pay during the months of March 1890 to August 1891, have been

been communicated to you; and to what head such amounts have been credited in the Palace.

This information is required to enable me to explain a Rs.7000/- and Rs.3000/- drawn from the Huzur Treasury in 18 in January and February respectively.

As for the balance of Rs.870-11-9, I shall write later after obtaining the necessary information from the Huzur Tr

I have &c.,

Sd. A.Narasimma Iyengar,

Retired Durbar Bakshi.

1902

To

Rai Bahadur Raja Karya Prasakta

A. Narasimha Iyengar, Esq.

Retired Durbar Baksh

Bangalore.

Dated 25th January 1902

No.380

Sir,

In reply to your letter dated 16th January 1902, I hav honor to inform you that the Government Comptroller intimat in his docket No.511 dated 6th September 1891 that Rs. 3000 was recovered from the pay of Dewan Bahadur for credit to P Remittances V. Instruction on the audited pay bill for Aug 1891. This amount was credited in the Palace Treasury accou of August 1891 towards the advances standing against the la Dewan amounting to Rs.2671-9-5 the balance of Rs.328-6-7 w kept in the Deposits and was finally paid to the Dewan on 1 6th November 1895.

2. No other recoveries under the above head were either timated by the Government Comptroller or credited in the Pa Accounts from March 1890 to August 1891.

I have &c.,

(Signed) V.N.Narasimma Iyengar,

Palace Controller.

31st January 1902
Golf Road, Bangalore.

From

A. Narasimha Iyengar, R.B., R.K.P.

Retired Durbar Bakshi.

To

V.N.Narasimha Iyengar, Esq.,

Palace Controller, Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.380 dated 25th January I have the honor to request you to be good enough to let me if any advance was outstanding against the late Dewan Sir K. Seshadri Iyer prior to March 1890. If so I shall feel obliged your letting me know the amount.

Please also enlighten me as to whether there was any advance outstanding against him from March 1890 to August 1891 cluding Rs.2671-9-5 alluded to in your letter.

I have &c.,

(Signed) A. Narasimha Iyengar,

Retired Durbar Bakshi.

From

V. N. Narasimha Iyengar, Esq ,

Palace Controller.

To

Rai Bahadur Raja Karya Prasakta

A. Narasimha Iyengar, Esq.,

Durbar Bakshi (Retired)

Golf Road, Bangalore.

Dated 15th February 1902

No. 422

Sir,

In reply to your letter dated 31st January 1902 enquiri whether any advance was outstanding against the late Dewan S K. Seshadri Iyer, prior to March 1890, I have the honor to form you that a sum of Rs.1021-9-6 being the cost of feedin horses &c., from July 1883 to July 1887 was due by him, and formed part of the sum of Rs.2671-9-5 adjusted out of the R

Date	Name of Payee	On what Accounts	Amount	Signature of Receipients
30-1-1890	Durbar Bakshi	Paid on his receipt dated 30-1-1890 for Palace expenses	5000/-	(Signed) Agatha Ramanna
Do	Do	Do	2000/-	(Signed) Sheik Imam
8-2-1890	Dewan Bahadur	Paid for Palace expenses as per receipt dated 30-1-1890	3000/-	(Signed) M. D. Ramanna

3000/- already referred to in my letter No.330 dated 25th January 1902.

2. As regards your 2nd query, I beg to say that there wa no advance outstanding against him from March 1890 to August 1891 besides the above sum of Rs.2671-9-5.

I have &c.,

Sd. V.N.Narasimha Iyengar,

Palace Controller.

12th February 1902
Golf Road, Bangalore.

From

A.Narasimha Iyengar, R.B., R.K.P.

Retired Durbar Bakshi.

To

V.N.Narasimha Iyengar, Esq.,

Palace Controller, Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.327 dated 25th December 1901, I have the honor to state briefly that in the month of January 1890 the late Sir K.Seshadri Iyer applied to H.H.the late Maharaja for an advance of Rs.10,000/- in connection with the marriage of his 2nd daughter which took place in Bangalore on the 1st February and following days. His Highness was pleased to instruct me to arrange for the advance through the Huzur Treasury here, as H.H.was then staying in Bangalore. I gave to Sir K.Seshadri Iyer three receipts (one for Rs.5000/- the other for Rs.2000/- and the third for Rs.3000/-) to be cashed at the State Huzur Treasury, and the present outstanding of Rs.10,000/- against him is made up of these items. These receipts were cashed by him, as noted in the margin. As permitted by His Highness Sir K.Seshadri Iyer, promised to adjust the advance by monthly payments into the Treasury accordingly from information I have gathered from the State Huzur Treasury and the Comptroller, I find that from the month following that in which the wedding was celebrated, Sir K. Seshadri Iyer began to make payments into the Treasury, through the Comp-

Comptroller during different months. As stated by you, no intimation of these payments have been given to your offic with the exception of Rs.3000/- (deducted out of Sir K. Seshadri Iyer's pay on 10-8-1901) which, instead of being credited towards the adjustment of the above advance of Rs.10,000/- seems to have been wrongly applied towards sundry accounts, including amounts subsequently drawn by and repaid to Sir K.Seshadri Iyer i.e. after 10-8-1901.

2. About the other item of Rs.870-11-9 I beg to state that the particulars obtained from the State Huzur Treasu show that the amount was not drawn by me in cash; but a cheque for the same was granted to Salia Mahomed Kassim W cashed it at the Madras Bank, Bangalore 28th February 190

I have &c.,

Sd. A. Narasimha Iyengar,

Retired Durbar Bakshi.

No. 96 Dated

Copy of the foregoing forwarded to the Comptroller, Mysore Government, with reference to his docket No.4121 dated 15/17th December 1901.

Sd. V.N.Narasimha Iyengar,

17--2--'02. Palace Controller.

" Copies "

Comptroller

From

The Palace Controller, Mysore.

To

The Comptroller of Mysore, Bangalore.

Dated 7th August 1893.

No. 16.

With reference to his docket No. 714 dated 3rd August 1893 enquirin why the sum of Rs. 3000/- was not credited on the receipt side of the account current as received from the Dewan and what was the total amount that was outstanding against the Dewan, has the honor to inform that as the amount was received in the Huzur Treasury, it was debited against his offic But as regards its credit it had either to be credited on the receipt side or to the advances statement. If it had been credited under the receipts then the advances could not have been waived.

2. Besides the amount of Rs. 1021-9-6, there were two sums that were adjusted from the amount of Rs. 3000/-. The first amount was Rs.249-15-11 due by Mr. K. Dorasawmy Iyer which was credited in the same month. The othe was Rs. 1400/-. This amount was paid to the Dewan's house at Mysore, and debited to the Bangalore trip account with a view that the amount would be recovered by Mr. A. Narasimma Iyengar at Bangalore. But as the amount of Rs 3000/- was received at the Huzur Treasury and intimation was given by the Huzur Treasury officer for adjusting the same in the Palace accounts the su of Rs. 1400/- was recredited to the Bangalore trip account in the same mont Both of these adjustments may be seen in the advance statement of August 91

3. The balance of Rs. 328-6-7 that was left after adjusting the above 3 items,viz.,Rs.1021-9-6,Rs. 249-15-11 & Rs.1400/- due in all Rs.2671-9-5 was brought on the receipt side of the account current of August 1891, bein included in the bill of the " Receipt of Deposits".

Sd/ D. Sitarama Rao,
Palace Controller.

" COPY "

Comptroller

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 683

Serial No 5

Diary No. of letter replied to 3292

No. H 6620

General and Rev. Secretariat
(Genl. Fin.)
, the 7th April 1905.

To

The Comptroller to the Govt
of H. H. the Maharaja of M

Date of issue —do—

Signed by W Sahyaria / Clerk

With reference to his letter No
4498 / 1086 of the 15th February 1905 forwar
-ding a copy of his letter No. 1994 of the
~~24th September 1903~~ ~~October 1903~~ relating to the amount o
-standing in the Mysore Palace Accoun
against Sir A. Narasimha Iyengar
Ka retired Darbar Bakshi has the
honor to return herewith the marginal
noted book / Accounts VI and to reques
that herewith ~~he to be~~ the final Report
~~promised in the letter~~ ~~he~~ ~~matter~~ may be forwarded at
above

over.

Madras Bank (Mysore Branch) Pass book
Letter No. 2284 / 24-8-91 from the Comptroller
to the Palace Controller
Statements shewing the amounts paid
by the Mysore Treasuries on account
of Palace during the year 1889-1890.
Incomplete statement for March 1890
Do — Do — for 1891
Do — Do — for June 1892

the two letters quoted

SUBJECT.

Accounts & Finance DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVT

No. 7737 / at 1738

Bangalore, 4th July 1905.

From

Rai. N.G. Basu Bahadur, M.A.,
Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To

B.K. Venkatavarada Iyengar, Esq.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your docket No. Fl.6620/Gl.F.686-(dated 7th April last relating to the amount outstanding in the Palace accounts against Mr. A. Narasimha Iyengar,R.B.,Durbar Bul I have the honour to invite your attention to para 6 of this off No. 1994 dated 10th October 1903, and to state that the sum of F 5000/- out of Rs. 9000/- therein stated to have been recovered f the late Dewan's Salary and credited to suspense in the Palace a counts, as also Rs. 412-5-0 referred to in para 12 of Mr. Scotts report dated 16th September 1901, (also outstanding under suspen have been adjusted towards the advance of Rs. 10,870-11-10/- out standing against Mr. A. Narasimha Iyengar in the accounts of thi office for the current year; and with regard to the balance of Rs. 5458-6-10 further investigation is being made, and a further re- port will be submitted to Government in due course.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

N.G. Basu

Comptroller.

11 ann 5-7-05

Account Current

DEPARTMENT. 9A

1905.

From Rai N.G. Baon. Krishnaswami
The Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To B.K. Venkatavaradaa Iyengar Avl.
~~The~~ Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General & Revenue Dept

Dated 4th
Despatched } July
Received

No. 7737
A/c. No. 1738

SUBJECT MATTER.

I invite his attention to para
6 of this office No. 1994 of
October 1903 re the amou
outstanding in the Pala
accounts against Mrs. A
Narasimha Iyengar R.B. Amr
Bakshi

Bangalore
10th July 1905

My Dear Doraswami Iyer

About the time of the marriage of your 2nd Sister a sum of Rs 10,000 was drawn from the State Huzur Treasury on the receipt of A. Narasimmacharyar R B. Cashier Durbar Bukshie as a loan from the Palace to your Father as per orders of H. H. Chamarajendra Wadeyar Bahadur. A sum of Rs 5,000 has been adjusted out of your Father's pay bills. The balance has yet to be adjusted. Will you kindly let me know when this will be done?

Yours Sincerely

(Sd.) B Krishnaswamy Iyengar

Secretary

Kumara Park
11.7.05

To Branch II
11.7.1905 June 11/7/05
My dear 11.7.05

I am sending
your D.O. letter of yesterday
to Mr. Srikantaiyaa for a
all our old accounts are with-
him. He might help us
to trace the old transaction
& look at the correspondence
with Mr. A. Narasimha Iyengar
I shall be obliged if



G.R.S. 13|

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Dear Sirs 147
D.O. from Mr Broadhurst
about the accumul-
due from Mr A. Nash
Liverpool

The original file
is in D.P.E. here
we await the return
of the file - Mr Dove
thinks would
be the original
file.

Mr has come back
to
12.7.05

Mr D. may be asked
to come to office to
read the file
to
12.7.05

Letter for orders to-day
11/7/05

11.7.05 D.O. Sent
12/7/05

12.7.05

Completed to-day
to
13.7.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small Slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

MGCPB—50,000—22-9-04

Recd 14/7"

Scarborough
July 1905

My dear Donkin

Your note of
11th duplicate on
hand. You may
~~Correct Proof~~
read the file of
proofs Corrected
with the Copy
referred to

Yours truly

G

14.7.05

Kindly call over, at any time convenient to you and have a look at the papers ~~in the~~ office - that you must oppose.

12/7/05

13.7.05

H. A. Dharmadasa Esq.

No 86 1243 B
22-8-05

~~Dear~~ Sir

I send you herewith
copies of correspondence
between Mr. A. Narasimha Iyengar and
the Palace Controller
from which you will
see that Rs 10,000/-
was drawn by one
Ayaka Ramanna
& Ramanna &
another, in connec-
tion with the affairs
of your sisters as
explained by Mr.
A. Narasimmayengar
Rs 5000/- were paid
back to ~~Ent~~ the Mayor Mr. A
by your father of
which Rs 3000/- (+)
utilized for purposes
other than the adjust-
ment of this loan

9000/-

to Chom.

1600/-

I have not yet
received the Comptroller's
report in the matter
& soon as I get the
same I shall
communicate
its purport to you
The copies of the
correspondence
now ~~forwarded~~ sent
to you may help to
refresh your memo
t to look at the
references.

Had the loan been
chosen in the Palace
accounts against
your father, and the
amount remitted
by him or deducted
from his pay re
ported from time
to time to the Palace
Authorities. The
present necessity
to go into old accou
would not have

arrear, but it was
shown as advance
against Mr. A. Das
Gasmuncor to be
accounted for
How the remaining sum of
Rs 5,000/- paid by
your father has
been utilized re
mains yet to be
notified to the
Palace authori-
ties by the
Comptroller, so
far as I gather from
the papers.

I leave
I am &c

21/8/1905
Secy

Rec'd 5 P.M.
21
24-8-05.

Typed
22/8/05

12th February 1902
Golf Road
Bangalore

From

A Narasm Iyengar RB
R.K.S.
Retired Durbar Bukshi

To,

V. N. Narasm Iyengar Esqre,
Palace Comptroller, Mys

Sir,

With preference to your letter No. 32 dated 25th Dec. 1901 I have the hono to State briefly that in the month of January 1890 the late Sir K. Seshadri applied to H.H. the late Maharaja f an advance of Rs 10000/ in Connection with the marriage of his second daugh which took place in Bangalore on the 18th Feby and following days. His Highn was pleased to instruct me to arran for the advance through the Huzur Treas here, as His Highness was then staying in Bangalore. I gave to Sir K. Seshadri per three receipts (one for Rs 5000, ano ther for Rs 2000 and the third for Rs 300 to be cashed at the State Huzur Treasu and the present outstanding of Rs 100 against me is made up of these item

Name	Name of Payee	On what account	Amount	Signature of the Receipient
30.1.1890	Durbar Bukshi	Paid on his receipt dated 30/1. for Palace Expenses	5000/	(Sd) Agastha Ramanna
— do —	— do —	— do —	2000/.	(Sd) Sheik Imam
8.2.90	Dewan Bahadur	Paid for Palace Expenses as per receipt dd 30/1.	3000/	(Sd) V. N. Ramanna

these receipts were cashed by him as noted in the margin. As permitted by His Highness, Sir K. Seshadri Iyer promised to adjust the advance by monthly payments into the Treasury. Accordingly from information I have gathered from the State Huzur Treasury and the Comptroller, I find that from the month following that in which the ding was celebrated Sir K. Sesha. Iyer began to make payments into the Treasury through the Comptroller during different months. As stated by you, no intimation of these payments has been given to your office, with the exception of Rs 3000-00 (debited out of Sir K. Seshadri Iyer's pay bill on 10-8-01) which, instead of being credited towards the adjustment of the above advance of Rs 10000-00, seems to have been wrongly applied towards sundry accounts, in checking amounts subsequently drawn by, and repaid to Sir K. Seshadri Iyer ie after the 10-8-01.

2. About the other item of Rs 870-11-9, I beg to state that the particulars obtained from the State Huzur Treasury show that the amount was not drawn

by me in cash, but a cheque for th
same was granted to Sallia Rahim
Cassum, who cashed it at the Madr.
Bank Bangalore 28 Feb: 1890.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Serv
(Sd) A. Narsin Iyengar
Retired Durbar Books

To,

The Comptroller

Hereunto Rs 1000 (one thousand only) to be credited to the Personal Estate.

5 March 1890.

Sd/ K. Seshadri Iyer

Copy given to Mr. A. Narasimhaiyer as per Comptroller's memo No. 593 dated 23.1.1902

Sd/ B. Gopalaiyer
Officer in charge
State Huzur Treasury

24.1.02

Extract of Treasurer's Cash book showing the particulars of Palace credits on different date.

Nos: of applications	Date	By whom sent	On what account with number and date of application	Amount Rs	as	p	Comptroller & Officer in Charge of the Treasury
10	5-3-1890	Dewan Bahadur	Received to be credited to the Personal Estate as per memo dated 5* 2-90.	1000	-	-	Mr. A. Ramasamiayar * Dewan's Memo dt 5-3-90
2	1-4-1890	Comptroller	Received for credit to Palace as per chit dated 1/4.	1000	-	-	— do
3	5-6-1890	Dewan Bahadur	Received to be credited to Palace remittances as per Comptroller's chit dated 5/6.	1000	-	-	— do
3	1-10-1890	Dewan Bahadur	Received to be credited to Palace remittances	1000	-	-	— do
2	7-1-1891	Comptroller	Being amount paid by Dewan	1000	-	-	— do
7	10-8-1891	Dewan Bahadur	Received for adjustment of advances made as per his pay bill dated 10/8.	3000	-	-	— do

No. 422

Palace ~~Comptroller's Office~~
Mysore
Dated 14/15 February 19

From,
V. N. Narasimhiengar Esq
Palace ~~Comptroller~~ controller,
Mysore

To,
Rai Bahadur Rajakarya Prasak
A. Narasimhiengar Esquire
Darbar Bakshi (Retire
Bangalor

Sir,

In reply to your letter dated 31
January 1902, enquiring whether an
advance was outstanding agains
the late Dewan Sir K. Seshadri Iyer
prior to March 1890, I have the hon
to inform you that a sum of Rs 1021-
being the cost of feeding horses &c fr
July 1883 to July 1887 was due by
and it formed part of the sum
Rs 2671-9-5 adjusted out of the Rs 3
already referred to in my letter N
380 dated 25 January 1902.

2. As regards your 2d query, I beg
say that there was no advance on
-standing against him from Marc

1890 to August 1891, besides the above
Sum of Rs 2671-9-5.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
V. R. Narasimhaiyar
Controller
Palace Controller

Statement of the late Sir K. Seshadri Iyer's account w the Mysore Palace.

Credit	Amount			Date	Debit	Amou		
Credited as per Government Comptrollers' docket No 511 dated 5.9.1891 being the amount recovered from the [illegible] of the Dewan Bahadur"	3000	.	.	18 8 91	To amount due by the Dewan for the feed of horses as per advance account	1021	9	
					Amount due by Mr. Doraisami Iyer as per advance account	249	15	
					Amount paid to Vaidya linga alias Appa of the Dewan's house	1400	.	
				6.11.91	Amount returned in Cash to the Dewan (Mr. Srikantesvara Iyer has received the amount and given a Stamped receipt)	328	6	
Total Rs.	3000	.	.		Total Rupees	3000	.	

18th August 1.

Madam

In regard to the
loan said to have been
made to my father I be
you to be so good as to se
me copies of the correspond
that was shown me in
secretariat. I beg also
e informed how it is
ne that the loan was obt
n account of the marr
one of my sisters. Full
information on the point

will enable me to trace

Yours sincerely
V Doraswamy Iyer

K. Venkata Varadaraya Esq
Secretary to Govt. Genl & Rs.
Dept.
Bangalore

Branch II for early compliance
21.8.1905

Pur Account Current
24806 DEPARTMENT.

1905.

From Rai N. G. Rao. Bahadur M.
~~The~~ Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To B. K. Venkatavaradaiyangar
~~The~~ Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General & Revenue Depts.

Dated 23
Despatched 23 } August
Received

No. 1007
No. 156 Copies
Enclosures. 1 file of Correspondence.

SUBJECT MATTER.

Acknowledges the receipt of
this letter No. Fl. 439 & 13 July 0
& submits final report on
adjustment of Rs. 10870-11-9 o
standing against Mr. A
Narasimmiengar late Amil
Bakshy.

Accountment DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVT

No. 1007 & Copies file V / 153 Bangalore. 23d August 1905

From Rai N.G. Bahadur M.A.
Comptroller, Mysore Govt

To
B.K. Venkataravada Iyengar Esq.
Secretary to Govt of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts

SIR,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 439 dated 13 July 05 asking for final report on the adjustment of Rs 10870-11-9 outstanding against Mr. A. Narasimmiengar late Amildar Bankapur.

2. During H.H. the late Maharajah's visit to Bangalore in January and February 1890 Rs 29993-12-9 were advanced by the State Auditor Treasury to Mr Narasimmiengar of which only Rs 19123-1-0 were adjusted in the Palace account for March 1890 and the balance Rs 10870-11-9 was left unaccounted for. This sum was debited by this office to the Outstanding List of Palace Advances for March 1890, Rs 5243-7-0 having been shewn provisionally against Mr Woodville, the Lord

district, and Rs. 5627-4-9 against Mr. Narasim-
-mirugar, and the fact was intimated
to the Palace Controller in this Office No.
2284 dated 24th August 1891.

3. In connection with the above
entries, it may be mentioned here
that all the accounts connected with
advances are received direct and disposed
of by the Palace Controller himself; and
in the absence of the detailed accounts
of advances rendered by Mr. Narasimmi
connected with the Bangalore Tour expen-
this Office originally assumed that the
sum of Rs. 5243-7-. drawn by Mr. Narasi-
mirugar for payment to Mr. Woodvil
had no concern with the Bangalore
Trip expences, and it was therefore shown
as an advance to the latter and the
Rs. 5627-4-9 to the former; but it has
since
been brought to notice (vide para 9 of
Mr. Scott's report referred to in Govern
Proceedings No. 706-8 dated 20th Novemb
1901) that the sum of Rs. 5243-7-. was in-
-cluded in the Rs. 19123-1-. already adjusted
as Palace expenses in the Palace accounts
for March 1890, accordingly, Mr. Narasim-
mirugar should now account for the
whole amount of Rs. 10870-11-9.

4. This Officer on being called upon
to refund the amount, states that he
advanced Rs. 10000/ to the late Sir. V

Seshadri Iyer on the authority of H. H. the late Maharaja (vide Copy of correspondence between Mr. Narasimmiengar and the Palace Controller herein enclosed), but there is nothing on the records of this office to support his statement, nor has Mr. Narasimmiengar or the then Palace Controller sent such intimation to this office. Of the receipts granted by Mr. Narasimmiengar for the amounts received by him, there are three receipts amounting to Rs. 10000/- (5000, 2000 and 3000), which are dated 30th January 1890, but were paid on different dates as specified in the margin, and these do not in any way prove the statement of Mr. Narasimmiengar. The only difference that is noticed between those 3 receipts and the others is that while in the former it is stated that the amounts were received for "Palace purposes", it is mentioned in the latter that the amounts were received for "Palace Expenses" and for payment to certain parties.

Rs. 5000/- paid on
" 3000/- —"—
" 2000/- —"—

From March 1890 to August 1891, Rs. 9000/- appears to have been deducted from the late Dewan's salary bill as shown in the margin, and credited in the Huzur Treasury accounts in favor of the Palace; Rs. 1000/- paid in to credit of H.H. Princess

5th March 1890 —"—
1st April —"—
5th June —"—
1st October —"—
7th January 1891 —"—
12th February —"—
10th August —"—

Estate account was credited under Miscell
As no particular head of account was
specified, and Rs 5000/- were shown unde
"Suspense", as no particulars were furnis
The remaining Rs 3000/- were adjusted
as follows: (Vide Palace Controller's No 1
dated 7th August 1893 to this Office and
No 380 dated 25th January 1902 to Mr A
Narasimmiengar, Copies enclosed for
ready reference)

Rs 1271-9-5 towards advance standing in the
Palace accounts against the late
Dewan and his son Mr Dorasam
Iyer on account of Stable suppl

Rs 1400-0-0 towards a similar amount p
to the late Dewan's house-hol
at Mysore, and debited as an
vance against Mr Narasimha
to be received by him and
utilized for Bangalore trip
penses.

Rs 328-6-7 was held for some time un
"deposits" and then refunded
the late Dewan.

Rs 3000-0-0

6. In the Palace account for November
Rs 51512-8-0 were credited as the amou
received from the State Huzur Treasu
by Mr Narasimmiengar for Bangalore
Trip Expenses, but Rs 50112-8-0 were actu
drawn from the treasury. The differen
of Rs 1400/- was on account of the advan
already mentioned in paragraph 5. (
is thus clear that Rs 1400/- was twice
credited to Palace against only on

debit, and one of these two credits re-
mains to be adjusted.

Of the total credit of Rs 7,400/-
(i.e. Rs 6,000/- referred to in para 5,
and Rs 1400/- in para 6) is assumed
as relating to the advance of Rs 10,000/-
in question, the Rs 7,400/- will be adjusted
in the Palace Accounts by debit
to the marginally noted
heads, and credit to "Ad-
vances Recoverable", the
balance Rs 2,600/- outstanding
against Mr Narasimmiengar.

Rs 1000/- to Refunds.
" 5,000/- to Suspense.
" 1400/- to Bangalore Tr.
Expenses.

* Provisionally adjusted in
the accounts of May 95, Va
this office No 7737
dt. 1738 & 30 Jun

Another sum of Rs 458-6-9 remains
to be accounted for by Mr Narasimmiengar
Rs 3214-5-9 were paid by the State Bkgnr.
Treasury in February 1890 to certain par-
ties on the requisition of that Officer,
but only Rs 2343-9-10 are reported to
have been credited in his Town Account
and the difference of Rs 870-11-9 is
left unaccounted for, vide para 65 of
Mr Scott's Report. In reference to this
amount, Mr Narasimmiengar explains
that the amount was not drawn by
him in cash, but a cheque for the
amount was granted to Mr Salish
Mahomed Cassim, who cashed it
at the Madras Bank Bangalore. Whether
the payment was made in cash or by
cheque, to Mr Salish Mahomed Cassim
I am not the amount was paid to him

on a formal receipt signed by a
Narasimmiengar, who is responsi
for the money paid by the Treasu
so long as it is not duly accoun
for in the Palace accounts.

9. A sum of Rs. 412-6-* is entrie
in the Burgher Treasury accounts fo
January and February 1891 under
Palace in favor of Mr. Narasimmi
Of this amount can be applied tow
part adjustment of the Rs. 870-11-
there still remains a balance o
Rs. 458-6-9, which added to the R
2600/ referred to in para 7, the tota
balance against Mr. Narasimmia
is Rs. 3058-6-9.

Provisionally posted in the accounts by debit "Suspense" and credit "Advances" de hoc officio 1737-8 & 30 c. 1738 June 1905.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

[illegible]
Comptroller,

Letter No 327 dt 25th December 01 from V.N. Narasimha Iyengar Esq: Palace Controller to Rai Bahadur A. Narasimha Iyengar Esq, Owr Dear Bakshi (Retired) Bangalore.

Adverting to para 10 of Government Proceedings No 91-706-8 dt 20th November I have the honour to state that the Comptroller to Government has in his docket No 4121 dt 15th/17th December 01 desired me to call upon you to account without further delay for the sum of Rs 10.870-11-9 as per Statement enclosed, outstanding against Your Service 1890, and to request that you will be so good as to expedite the adjustment of the amount in question at your earliest convenience -

---

Letter No — dated 16th January 1902 Golf road, Bangalore — from A. Narasimha Iyengar B.A., R.K.P. Retired Owr Dear Bakshi to V.N. Narasimma Iyengar Esq, Palace Controller in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No 327 dated 25th/28th December 1901, I have the honour to request you to be good enough to let me know if any deductions made from the late Sir. K. Sheshadri Iyer's pay during the months of March 1890 to August 1891, have been communicated to you: and to what head such amounts have been credited in the Palace

This information is required to enable me to explain about Rs 7000/- and Rs 3000/- drawn from the Huzur

Huzur Treasury in 1890 in January and February respectively.

As for the balance of Rs. 870-11-9, I shall write later on, after obtaining the necessary information from the Huzur Treasury.

Letter No. 380 dt 25th January 1902 from V. N. Narasimha Iyengar Esq: Palace Controller to Rai Bahadur Rasa Kunja Pranekri. A. Narasimha Iyengar Esq: Retired Umbar Rao Kothi Bangalore.

In reply to your letter dated 16th Jany 1902, I have the honour to inform you that the Govt. Comptroller intimated in his dkt: No. 511 dt 5th Septr. 1891 that Rs. 3000/- was recovered from the pay of Dewan Bahadur for credit to Palace Remittances v. Instrns on the audited pay bill for August 1891. This amount was credited in the Palace Treasury accounts of August 1891 towards the advances standing against the late Dewan amounting to Rs. 2671-9-5 the balance of Rs. 328-6-7 was kept in the deposits and was finally paid to the Dewan on the 6th November 1895.

2. No other recoveries under the above head were either intimated by the Government Comptroller or credited in the Palace Accounts from March 1890 to August 1891.

Letter dated 31st January 1902, Golf Road, Bangalore, from A. Narasimha Iyengar B.A. R.K.P. Retired Dubhar Bakshi, to V.N. Narasimha Iyengar Esq: Palace Contractor, Mysore

With reference to your letter No. 380 dated January 25, I have the honour to request you to be good enough to let me know if any advance was outstanding against the late Dewan Sir K. Seshadri Iyer prior to March 1890. If so I shall be obliged by your letting me know the amount.

Please also enlighten me as to whether there was any advance outstanding against him from March 1890 to August 1891 excluding Rs. 2.671-9-5 alluded to in your letter.

Letter No. 422 dated 15th February 1902 from V.N. Narasimha Iyengar Esq: Palace Contractor to Rai Bahadur Raja Kanya Prasad Ku – Narasimha Iyengar Esq: Dubhar Bakshi (Retired, Golf Road, Bangalore.

In reply to your letter dated 31st January enquiring whether any advance was outstanding against the late Dewan Sir K. Seshadri Iyer prior to March 1890, I have the honour to inform you that a sum of Rs. 1021-9-6 being the cost of beer supplied from July 1883 to July 1887 was due by him, and it formed part of the sum of Rs. 2671-9-5 adjusted out of the Rs. 3000/- already referred to in my letter No. 380 dated 25th January 1902.

2. As regards your 2nd query, I beg to say that there was no advance outstanding against him from March 1890 to August 1891 besides the above sum of Rs. 2.671-9-5.

Letter No — d 12 February 1902, Golf wood,
Bangalore from A. Narasimha Iyengar B.A.
B.K.P. Retired Durbar Bakshi, to V. N.
Narasimha Iyengar Esq: Palace Controller Mysore

With reference to your letter No 327 d 25
December 1901, I have the honour to state briefly
that in the month of January 1890 the late Sir
T. Seshadri Iyer applied to H.H. the late Maharaja
for an advance of Rs 10000/- in connection
with the marriage of his 2nd daughter which
took place in Bangalore on the 1st February &
following days. His Highness was pleased
to instruct me to arrange for the advance
through the Huzur Treasury here, as H.H.
was then staying in Bangalore. I gave to
Sir K. Seshadri Iyer three receipts, (one for
Rs 5000/- the other for Rs 2000/- and the third
for Rs 3000/-) to be cashed at the State
Huzur Treasury, and the present outstand-
ing of Rs 10000/- against me is made up
of these items. These receipts were cashed
by him, as noted in the margin. As
permitted by His Highness, Sir K. Seshadri
Iyer, promised to adjust the advance
by monthly payments into the Treasury
Accordingly from information I have
gathered from the State Huzur Treasury
and the Comptroller, I find that from
the month following that in which
the wedding was celebrated, Sir K.
Seshadri Iyer began to make payments
into the Treasury, through the Comptroller
during different months. As stated
by you, no intimation of these payments
have been given to your office, with
the exception of Rs 3000/- (credited out
of Sir K. Seshadri Iyer's pay on 10 August
1901) which, instead of being credited

Rs
Jany 1890 — Paid on this receipt of 30/1/1890 } 5000/- (Signed) Sheik Imam
Do — Do — for Palace Expenses — } 2000/- (Signed) Sheik Imam
Do — Do — Paid for Palace Expenses } 3000/- (Signed) M.A. Ramanna
Feby 1890 — Received 30/1/1890 —

towards the adjustment of the above advance of Rs. 10000/- seems to have been wrongly applied towards Sundry accounts, including amounts subsequently drawn by and repaid to Sir K. Seshadri Iyer i.e. after 10 Aug. 1901.

2. About the other item of Rs. 870-11- I beg to state that the particulars obtained from the State Sugar Rms show that the amount was not drawn by me in cash; but a cheque for the same was granted to Adia Mahomed Khasem who cashed it at the Madras Bank C, Bangalore, 28 February 1890.

No 96 dated 17 February 1902.

Copy of the foregoing forwarded to the Comptroller, Mysore Government with reference to his docket No 4121 dated 15/17 December 1901

(Signed) V. N. Narasimha Iyengar
Palace Controller

Letter No 16. dated 7 August 1893 from the Palace Controller, Mysore to the Comptroller of Mysore, Bangalore

---

With reference to the his docket No 714 dated 3d August 1893 requiring why the sum of Rs 3,000/ was not credited on the receipt side of the account current

current as received from the Dewan and what was the total amount that was outstanding against the Dewan, has the honour to inform that as the amount was received in the Mulgur Treasury, it was debited against his office. But as regards its credit it had either to be credited on the receipt side or to the advance statement. If it had been credited under the receipts then the advances could not have been varied.

Besides the amount of Rs. 1021-9-6, there were two sums that were adjusted from the amount of Rs. 3000/-. The first amount was Rs. 249-15-11 due by Mr. K. Doraiswamy Iyer which was credited in the same month. The other was Rs. 1400/-. This amount was paid to the Dewan's home at Mysore, and debited to the Bangalore trip account with a view that the amount would be received by Mr. A. Narasimha Iyengar at Bangalore. But as the amount of Rs. 3000/ was received at the Mulgur Treasury and intimation was given by the Mulgur Treasury Officer for adjusting the same in the Palace accounts the sum of Rs. 1400/ was credited to the Bangalore trip account in the same month. Both of these

adjustments

adjustments may be seen in the advance Statement of August 189
3. The balance of Rs. 328-6-7 that w
left after adjusting the above th
items viz: Rs. 1021-9-6, Rs. 249-15-11 a
Rs. 1400/- due in all Rs. 2671-9-5 w
brought on the Receipt side of the
account current of August 189
being included in the bill of the
Receipt of Deposits.

"True Copies"

L. Bass
Comptroller

Progs. of the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Correspondence ending with letter No.1007/A.C.155 dated the 23rd August 1905 from the Comptroller submitting a final report on the advances outstanding against the late Durbar Bakshi Mr. A. Narasimha Iyengar in the Palace Accounts.

Order thereon No. ~~Rd 686-03-4~~ Rf 4222-24. Rf 5-1-06

From the report now submitted by the Comptroller it is observed that a sum of Rs.29,993-12-9 was advanced to Mr. Narasimha Iyengar from the State Huz Treasury on the occasion of His Highne the late Maharaja's trip to Bangalore in January and February 1890. Of that amount, Rs.19,123-1-0 were adjusted lea -ing a balance of Rs.10,870-11-9 ~~un-~~ accounted for by Mr. Narasimha Iyengar, ~~who was called upon to refund the amou outstanding against him,~~ he explains that Rs.10,000 were advanced to the late Dewan Sir K. Seshadri Iyer on the authority of H.H. the late Maharaja and that the balance of Rs.870-11-9 is the amount of a cheque given to Mr.Sallia Mahammed Cassim Sait, in settlement of this account ~~who cashed it at~~

ıe Bank of Madras, Bangalore. ~~To whomso-~~
~~ever the amounts drawn might have been~~
~~timately paid, and whether they were paid~~
~~cash or cheques, Mr. Narasimha Iyengar~~
~~responsible for the~~ adjustment ~~as the~~
~~ounts were drawn on receipts signed by~~
~~im.~~

2. The Comptroller reports that a sum
Rs.9000 was deducted from the late Dewan's
ılary Bills as shewn in the margin and
edited in the State Huzur Treasury Ac-
ounts. Out of this sum Rs.1271-9-5 were
ijusted towards other advances outstand-
ng against the late Dewan in the Palace
ccounts Rs.328-6-7 were refunded to him.
Rs.5000 were held under "suspense"
s.1000 were credited to "Miscellaneous"
or want of particulars and Rs.1400
rroneously credited towards the advance
outstanding against Mr.Narasimha Iyengar
n account of the Bangalore Trip expenses
f August 1891. Deducting the first two
tems, viz Rs.1271-9-5 and 328-6-7, there
emain Rs.7,400 which the Comptroller pro
oses to adjust against the advances of
s.10,000 outstanding against Mr.Narasimha
yengar. The Comptroller's proposal is
pproved.

5th March 1890.	Rs.1000.
1st April	1000.
5th June	1000.
1st Octobe	1000.
7th June 1891.	1000.
12th February	1000.
10th August	3000.
	9000.

3. Anent Rs.870-11-9 referred to ~~in the~~
~~oregoing para~~, the Comptroller reports
hat a sum of Rs.412-5-0 was credited in
he Huzur Treasury ~~Accounts for~~ January
nd February 1891, ~~under "Palace" in favor~~

~~of Mr. Narasimha Iyengar, which~~ was ~~also~~ at first held under "suspense" and subsequently credited to "Advances" provisionally and proposes to adjust ~~the~~ that amount against the advance of Rs.870-11-9 outstanding. But it has to be explained why ~~This is also approved.~~ Narasimmiyya's towards the sum paid to Saleha Bee

paid Rs. 412-5-0. ~~J.C. Williamson~~ Comptroller has been paid

and Kasim Sheb in settlement of his account with the Palace.

After making these adjustments aggregating Rs.7812-5-0 (Rs.7400+412-5-0) there still remains a balance of Rs. ~~3458-6-9~~ unadjusted. 3,058-6-9 ~~unaccounted for which has to~~ account for or be made good by Mr. Narasimha Iyengar, the balance of 2600 has to be paid and ~~which he is requested to refund forthwith.~~ Sir Seshadri Iyer's So

~~H.S. 1905~~
4.1.1905

Secretary.

To

The Comptroller to the Mysore Government.

Mr. A. Narasimha Iyengar, late Durbar Bakshi.

The officer in charge of Palace Ba -ments to take a co the letter from Comptroller re to the G.O. (1 read abo

K.S. 8.9.05.

8-9-05

No 4225 — D/5-1
99,686.03

To K. Dorasami Esq

Sir,

In re the sum of $10,
advanced to yr father D.
the Palace in January 1890, a
sum of $2000 is still du
which please kindly
soon & the Comptroller.

I have re

No 4226 D/5-1-06
99,686.03

4.1.1906

Copy of the Comptroller in Co
-tinuation of his No 4226
of the 5th January 1906

4.1.1906

KUMARA PARK,
BANGALORE.

Camp Chikmagalur Kemachalli

To Branch II
&
12/1/1906
mmle 12/1/06.

10th January 1906

My Dear Sir

In Compliance
with your letter No. Fl. 422
686-03
of the 5th instant: I am
sending to the Comptroller
today a cheque on the
Madras Bank Bangalore
for Rs 2600/- & requesting
him to be so good as to
[illegible] receipt- & [illegible]

Palace & to me

I was sorry to have
missed wishing you
good bye when you left
I walked. I had only
one bath & I did not wish
my boy Mahadeo to take
the chance of missing
the train by waiting until
I got back —

With kind regards
Yours sincerely
V. Dorothy

' DEPARTMENT

From Rai N.G. Baon Bahadur Ac
~~The~~ Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To H.H. Venkatavaradha Iyengar
~~The~~ Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General & Revenue Dep

Dated 19
Despatched 24 January
Received

No. 3974
No. 640.

SUBJECT MATTER.

Adverting to his Memo No.
4226
R 7686-03 dt 5/1/06, with regard
that the amount of Rs. 2000
being the balance of amount
advanced to the late Sir K
Shrihari Iyer has been debi
credited to in the S.H. Dep
ye for the current year in
ore Palace.

_____ DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVT.

Bangalore, 189

From

E R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,

Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

_____ *Dept.*

Sir,

No. 3974
A.C.640

Office of the Comptroller, Mysore Government

Dated Bangalore, the 19 January 1906.

From

Rai. N.G. Basu Bahadur, M.A.,

Comptroller, Mysore Government.

B.K. Venkatavarada Iyengar, Esq.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to your memo No. 4226/R.F.686-03 dated 5th J 1906 forwarding copy of your letter No. 4225/R.F.686-03 of the dated addressed to Mr. K. Dorasami Iyer, [illegible] requesting h send Rs. 2,600/- being the balance of amount advanced to his la ther Sir K. Seshadri Iyer, I have the honour to intimate that t amount has been realized and credited in the State Huzur Treasu account for the current month under palace.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

N.G. Basu

Comptroller.

T.G.S.

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 686-

No. H. 4839-40 Serial No. 16.

Diary No. of letter replied to 3082

Proceedings of the Government of His the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(8 · 2)

the 6 February 190 6

Date of issue — do —

Signed by W Satyaraj Clerk

READ.—

~~P.O. No. H. 4222-24 dated 5.1.06,~~

Sanctioning the adjustment of Rs. 74 paid by Sir K. Seshadri Iyer on account of the advance of Rs. 10,000 drawn by him in 1890 and calling upon the Comptr. to report fully as to why Mr. Narasimha Iyengar pays Rs. 4125 -

~~ORDER THEREON.—No.~~

~~Letter No. 3974/640 dated 19.1.06~~ with reference to from the Comptr., Stating that the balance of Rs. 2600 - due by the late Sir K. Seshadri Iyer on account of the advance of Rs. 10,000 - has been realized and credited to the State Huzur Treasury Account for January 1906 under "Sala

Order thereon :-

over

para 2 of G.O No. H. 4222-24 dated 5.1.06 re the advances outstanding against the late Dewan Bahadur W. A. Narasimha Iyengar

SUBJECT

Recorded.

2) ~~The Comptr. is requested to expedite~~ information regarding the amount Rs: 4125-0 paid by Sri Narasimha ~~for~~ and the adjustment of the balance ~~to be taken~~.

27.1.1966

Secretary

The Comptroller

Sri K. Doraisamyyer.